Journal of the State Council, 1784-1789.

Claimants	against whom	Reasons why not passed
Bennett Neale	Alexander Lawson & Co.	Apply to A. Lawson & Co. for payment.
William Smith Sec'y for Doctr. Stevenson to A. Hoops	Doctr. Henry Stevenson	The Bond ought to be paid and taken up before the Claim is admissible.
George Fitzhugh	Daniel Dulany of Walter	Probate wanting by Geo. Fitzhugh, that he has received no Satisfac- tion from Daniel Dulany or in- demnification for the said Claim.
Hambleton Davidson	Robert Christie Jun'r.	Wants explanation and information.
Clement Sewell	Robert Alexander	No Probate that R Alexander received the money, Mr. Sewell only makes a Memorandum of his belief not upon Oath.
George McCandless	Nottingham Company	This being a private Acco't, against Corbin Lee is inadmissible as a Claim against the Company.
Shields & Mattison	Ditto	Same
Clement Sewell Adm'r, of Mrs. Smith	James Chalmers	It appears by Mr. Chalmers's Books and other Vouchers, that there will be Nothing due the Estate of Mrs. Smith on a Settle- ment of their Accounts.
[P 57]		
Joseph Harris	James Chalmers	It appears by Mr. Chalmer's Books and other Vouchers, that there will be nothing due Joseph Harris on a Settlement of their Accounts.
Barbara Harris	Ditto	Same
Thomas Riche	Ditto	Same
Sylv's. Prothero ass'd. to R. Anderson	Ditto	Same
John Moore	Ditto	Same
George Haynes	Ditto	By an Account current exhibited by Mr. Chalmers, it appears that Mr. Haynes is indebted to him for rent after the Expiration of his lease.
	By Order	T. Johnson Jr. C[ler]k